

An Exactly Solvable Many-Body Problem in One Dimension

Sudhir R. Jain*

*Theoretical Physics Division
Bhabha Atomic Research Centre,
Trombay, Mumbai 400 085, India*

Avinash Khare⁺

*Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg
Bhubaneswar 751 005, Orissa, India*

For N impenetrable particles in one dimension where only the nearest and the next-to-nearest neighbours interact, we obtain the complete spectrum both on a line and on a circle. Further, we establish a mapping between these N -body problems and the short-range Dyson model introduced recently to model intermediate spectral statistics in some systems using which we compute the two-point correlation function and prove the absence of long-range order in the corresponding many-body theory. Further, we also show the absence of an off-diagonal long-range order in the system.

PACS Nos. 05.50.+q, 05.90.+m, 03.65.Ge, 05.30.-d, 05.45.+b

Connections between exactly solvable models [1] and random matrix theory [2] have been very fruitful. The Calogero-Sutherland models [3] are some of the well-known examples which are not only interesting because they are exactly solvable [4] but also due to their relationship with (1+1)-dimensional conformal field theory, matrix models etc. [5]. Recent developments [6,7] relating equilibrium statistical mechanics to random matrix theory owing to non-integrability of dynamical systems has made the pursuit of unifying seemingly disparate ideas a very important theme.

The family consisting of exactly solvable models, related to fully integrable systems, is quite small [8] and their importance lies in the fact that their small perturbations describe wide range of physically interesting situations.

The universality in level correlations in linear (Gaussian) random matrix ensembles agrees very well with those in chaotic quantum systems [9] as also in many-body systems like nuclei [2]. On the other hand, random matrix theory was connected to the world of exactly solvable models when the Brownian motion model was presented by Dyson [2], and later on, by the works on level dynamics [10]. However, there are dynamical systems which are neither chaotic nor integrable - the so-called pseudointegrable systems [11]. It is known that the spectral statistics of such systems are “non-universal with a universal trend” [12]. In particular, for Aharonov-Bohm billiards, the level spacing distribution is linear for small spacing and it falls exponentially for large spac-

ing [13]. Similar features are numerically observed for the Anderson model in three dimensions at the metal-insulator transition point [14]. To understand these statistical features, and in the context of random banded matrices, a new random matrix model (which has been called as the short-range Dyson model in [17]) was introduced [15,16] wherein the energy levels are treated as in the Coulomb gas model with the difference that only nearest neighbours interact. This new model explains features of intermediate statistics [17] in some polygonal billiards.

In view of all this it is worth enquiring if one can construct an N -body problem which is exactly solvable and which is connected to the short-range Dyson model (SRDM)? The purpose of this letter is to present such a model. We find the density and the two-point correlation function of the corresponding many-body theory from where we conclude that there is no long-range order in the thermodynamic limit. Further, we also prove the absence of off-diagonal long-range order (ODLRO) in the system.

Let us first discuss the N -body problem on a line. We begin by writing the Hamiltonian for the N -body problem ($\hbar = m = 1$) :

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + g \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_{i+1})^2} - G \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(x_{i-1} - x_i)(x_i - x_{i+1})} + V \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \right). \quad (1)$$

We shall show that the complete bound state spectrum of H can be obtained if

$$g = \beta(\beta - 1), \quad G = \beta^2, \quad V = \frac{\omega^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2. \quad (2)$$

In (1), g and G are the strengths of two-body and three-body potentials respectively. Using the ansatz,

$$\psi = \phi \left[\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^\beta \right], \quad (3)$$

in the corresponding Schrödinger equation $H\psi = E\psi$, ϕ is seen to satisfy the equation

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i^2} - \beta \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_{i+1})} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i+1}} \right) + (V - E)\phi = 0. \quad (4)$$

On substituting

$$\phi = P_k(x)\Phi(r), \quad r^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2, \quad (5)$$

$\Phi(r)$ satisfies the equation

$$\Phi''(r) + [N + 2k - 1 + 2(N-1)\beta] \frac{1}{r} \Phi'(r) + 2[E - V(r)] \Phi(r) = 0, \quad (6)$$

and $P_k(x)$, a translation-invariant homogeneous polynomial of degree k ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) in the particle-coordinates satisfies generalised Laplace equation,

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + 2\beta \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_{i+1})} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+1}} \right) \right] P_k(x) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Specializing to the case of the oscillator potential i.e. $V(r) = \frac{\omega^2}{2} r^2$, we see that

$$\Phi(r) = \exp(-\omega r^2/2) L_n^a(\omega r^2), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (8)$$

where $L_n^a(x)$ is the associated Laguerre polynomial while the energy eigenvalues are given by

$$E_{n,k} = \left[2n + k + \frac{N}{2} + (N-1)\beta \right] \omega = E_0 + (2n + k)\omega, \quad (9)$$

with $a = \frac{E}{\omega} - 2n - 1$. Note that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E}{N} = (\beta + \frac{1}{2})\omega$, thus, the system has a good thermodynamic limit. In contrast, notice that the long-ranged Calogero model does not have good thermodynamic limit since in that case for large N , E/N goes like N (and is not a constant). The spectrum (9) can be interpreted as due to noninteracting bosons (or fermions) plus (n, k) -independent (but N -dependent) shift. It is instructive to note that as in the Calogero case [18], the entire discrete spectrum of the N -body problem can also be obtained if $V(\sum_i x_i^2) = -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\sum_i x_i^2}}$.

Thus, the ground state solution is given by ($n = k = 0$)

$$\psi_0 = \exp\left(-\frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^\beta, \quad (10)$$

$$E_0 = \left[(N-1)\beta + \frac{N}{2} \right] \omega. \quad (11)$$

The probability distribution for N particles is given by

$$\psi_0^2 = \text{constant} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N y_i^2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (y_i - y_{i+1})^{2\beta} \quad (12)$$

where $y_i \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} x_i$. We observe that ψ_0^2 is the joint probability distribution function for eigenvalues in the SRDM. Using this mapping one can now calculate the correlation functions of the many-body theory defined in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty, \omega \rightarrow 0, N\omega$ fixed. For example, the one-point function (density) tends to a Gaussian for any β [15] and is given by

$$R_1(x) = \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad (13)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \frac{(\beta+1)}{\omega}$. Before we discuss the higher correlation functions we first consider another N -body problem where we impose periodic boundary conditions on the wavefunction and obtain the ground state energy as well as the excitation spectrum; since in the thermodynamic limit the correlation functions of the two are the same. The Hamiltonian is

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + g \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sin^2[\frac{\pi}{2}(x_i - x_{i+1})]} - G \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \cot\left[(x_{i-1} - x_i) \frac{\pi}{L}\right] \cot\left[(x_i - x_{i+1}) \frac{\pi}{L}\right]. \quad (14)$$

First, we wish to find the ground state subjected to the periodic boundary condition

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_i + L, \dots, x_N) = \psi(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_N). \quad (15)$$

To this end, we start with a trial wavefunction of the form

$$\Psi_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \sin^\beta \left[\frac{\pi}{L} (x_i - x_{i+1}) \right] \quad (16)$$

and substitute it in the Schrödinger equation. We find that it is indeed a solution provided g and G are again as given by eq. (2). The corresponding energy eigenvalue turns out to be

$$E_0 = \frac{N}{L^2} \beta^2 \pi^2. \quad (17)$$

Thus, unlike the Calogero-Sutherland type of models, our models have good thermodynamic limit i.e. the ground state energy per particle ($= E_0/N$) is finite.

The excitation spectrum can also be obtained in this case the details of which will be given elsewhere [20] and it can be shown that

$$E = E_0 + \frac{2\pi^2}{L^2} \left[\sum_j n_j^2 + \beta \left(\sum n_1 - 1 \right) \right] \quad (18)$$

where $\sum n_1$ means total number of quanta in the state “1” when one includes all possible configurations for a given N . Here $n_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, N)$ are ordered according to $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_N$.

Having obtained the exact ground state, it is natural to enquire if the corresponding N -particle probability density can be mapped to the joint probability distribution of some short-range circular Dyson model (SRCDM) so that we can obtain some exact results for the corresponding many-body theory. Indeed the square of the ground-state wavefunction is related to the joint probability distribution function for the SRCDM. The density is a constant if $0 < x < N/L$, and is zero outside. The two-point level correlation function is the same in the SRDM as well as SRCDM. In fact Pandey [15] has already evaluated it. In particular, on concentrating on the circular version of the many-body problem for simplicity he has shown that [15]

$$R_2^{(\beta)}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^{(\beta)}(n, s), \quad (19)$$

where

$$P^{(\beta)}(n, s) = \frac{(\beta+1)^{n(\beta+1)}}{\Gamma[n(\beta+1)]} s^{n(\beta+1)-1} e^{-(\beta+1)s}. \quad (20)$$

On summing the infinite series, we obtain the following result for any integer β :

$$R_2^{(\beta)}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} \Omega^k e^{(\beta+1)s} \{\Omega^k - 1\} \quad (21)$$

where $\Omega = e^{2\pi i/(\beta+1)}$. For $\beta = 1$, which corresponds to orthogonal ensemble, the result is already known [16,15], $R_2(\beta = 1, s) = 1 - e^{-4s}$. Using eq. (21) we can also evaluate it for other integral values of β . In particular, for $\beta = 2, 4, 3$ (the first two of which correspond to unitary and symplectic ensembles respectively) we find that

$$R_2^{(2)}(s) = 1 - 2e^{-\frac{9s}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{3\sqrt{3}s}{2} - \frac{\pi}{3}\right); \quad (22)$$

$$R_2^{(4)}(s) = 1 + 2e^{5s(-1+\cos(2\pi/5))} \cos\left[\frac{2\pi}{5} + 5s \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right)\right] \\ + 2e^{5s(-1+\cos(4\pi/5))} \cos\left[\frac{4\pi}{5} + 5s \sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{5}\right)\right]; \quad (23)$$

$$R_2^{(3)}(s) = 1 - e^{-8s} - 2e^{-4s} \sin(4s). \quad (24)$$

In Fig. 1 we have plotted $R_2(s)$ as a function of s for $\beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$. From the figure as well as the general result given above we conclude that there is no long-range order in the corresponding many-body theory. For other values

of β , we have an integral representation which we have not succeeded to bring to a closed form.

We now prove that there is no off-diagonal long-range order either in this many-body theory. For the proof, we again concentrate on the circular version of the many-body problem for simplicity. Precisely, we shall prove that the Penrose-Onsager criterion [21] is fulfilled, i.e., the largest eigenvalue of the density matrix, divided by the total number of particles tends to zero in the thermodynamic limit.

Denoting the wavefunction in (16) by $\psi_{N,L}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$, the one-particle reduced density matrix and its Fourier coefficients (all non-negative) are given by ($\xi = x - x'$)

$$\rho_{N,L}(\xi) = N \int_0^L dx_1 \dots \int_0^L dx_{N-1} \psi_{N,L}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x) \\ \psi_{N,L}^*(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x'), \quad (25)$$

$$\rho_{N,L}^{(n)} = \int_0^L \rho_{N,L}(\xi) e^{i2\pi n \frac{\xi}{L}} d\xi. \quad (26)$$

This, in turn, is connected to the momentum distribution function,

$$F_{N,L}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{-\infty < n < (\frac{kL}{2\pi})} \rho_{N,L}^{(n)}. \quad (27)$$

Equivalently, we can also write

$$\frac{L}{N} \rho_{N,L}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik\xi} dF_{N,L}(k). \quad (28)$$

Since the box size enters throughout only as a scale factor, we write $\rho_{N,L}(\xi) = \frac{1}{L} R_N(\alpha)$, $\alpha = \frac{2\pi\xi}{L}$. After some manipulations, $R_N(\alpha)$ can be written as

$$R_N(\alpha) = \frac{2^{2(N-3)+4\beta}}{[(N-1)!]^2 (2\pi)^{N-1}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_1 \dots \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_{N-2} \\ \prod_{n=1}^{N-3} \sin^{2\beta}\left(\frac{\theta_n - \theta_{n+1}}{2}\right) \mathcal{I}(\alpha, \theta_{N-2}), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(\alpha, \theta_{N-2}) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_{N-1} \sin^{2\beta}\left(\frac{\theta_{N-2} - \theta_{N-1}}{2}\right) \\ \sin^{2\beta}\left(\frac{\theta_{N-1} - \alpha}{2}\right). \quad (30)$$

Because of positivity of $\rho_{N,L}(\xi)$, the largest discontinuity of $F_{N,L}(k)$ occurs at the origin and that is [22]

$$\frac{\rho_{N,L}^{(0)}}{N} = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} R_N(\alpha) d\alpha \\ < \frac{2^{2(N-3)+4\beta}}{N!(N-1)!}. \quad (31)$$

The inequality is easily shown by estimating the integral and since this ratio tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have proved that the Penrose-Onsager criterion is fulfilled for the absence of Bose-Einstein condensation. In fact, we have shown above that the one-particle reduced density matrix does not obtain ODLRO [19].

In this context it is worth pointing out that in the Calogero-type model in two dimensions, recently one of us had shown the existence of ODLRO [24]. Finally, we have been able to generalize some of these results to higher dimensions as well as to other root systems, the details of which will be published elsewhere [20].

To conclude, (i) we have exactly solved a quantum many-body problem with nearest and next-to nearest neighbour interactions in one dimension; (ii) we have shown the relation between these many-body problems and the short-range Dyson model, developed recently to understand intermediate spectral statistics in pseudointegrable systems and the 3-D Anderson model at the metal-insulator transition point; (iii) it is shown that there is no long-range order in the many-body system; and, (iv) it is proven that off-diagonal long-range order is absent, so no quantum phase transitions can occur. The model presented here and its complete treatment puts it in the class of celebrated Toda lattices [25] where the interaction is among nearest neighbours.

Acknowledgement One of us (SRJ) acknowledges the warm hospitality of the Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar where this work was initiated. We are also grateful to Akhilesh Pandey for making his unpublished work available to us, and to Zafar Ahmed and M. A. Prasad for their invaluable help in summing the series for the two-point function.

Figure Caption Fig. 1 The two-point correlation function for four integer values of β (small dashes to larger dashes denote from β varying from 1 to 4) clearly shows an absence of long-range order.

* srjain@apsara.barc.ernet.in

+ khare@iopb.res.in

faces eds. D. Gross, T. Piran, and S. Weinberg (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).

- [6] M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. E **50** (1994) 888; S. R. Jain and D. Alonso, J. Phys. A **30** (1997) 4993.
- [7] S. R. Jain and A. K. Pati, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 650; S. R. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 3553.
- [8] M. A. Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, Sov. Sci. Rev. C **3** (1982) 151.
- [9] O. Bohigas, M.-J. Giannoni, and C. Schmit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52** (1984) 1.
- [10] F. Haake, *Quantum signatures of chaos* (Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1991).
- [11] A. N. Zemlyakov and A. B. Katok, Math. Notes **18** (1976) 760; P. J. Richens and M. V. Berry, Physica D **2** (1981) 495; S. R. Jain and H. D. Parab, J. Phys. A **25** (1992) 6669; S. R. Jain and S. V. Lawande, Proc. Indian Natl. Sc. Acad. **61A** (1995) 275.
- [12] H. D. Parab and S. R. Jain, J. Phys. **A29** (1996) 3903.
- [13] G. Date, S. R. Jain, and M. V. N. Murthy, Phys. Rev. E **51** (1995) 198.
- [14] T. Guhr et al., Phys. Rep. **299** (1998) 189.
- [15] A. Pandey, private communication.
- [16] E. Bogomolny, U. Gerland, and C. Schmit, Phys. Rev. E **59** (1999) R1315.
- [17] B. Grémaud and S. R. Jain, J. Phys. **A31** (1998) L637.
- [18] A. Khare, J. Phys. **A29** (1996) L45.
- [19] It is also possible to show that the two-particle reduced density matrix does not obtain ODLRO.
- [20] S.R. Jain and A. Khare, To Be Published.
- [21] O. Penrose and L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **104** (1956) 576.
- [22] A. Lenard, J. Math. Phys. **5** (1964) 930.
- [23] C. N. Yang, Rev. Mod. Phys. **34** (1962) 694.
- [24] A. Khare and K. Ray, Phys. Lett. **A230** (1997) 139.
- [25] M. Toda, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **45** (1970) 174.

-
- [1] D. C. Mattis, Ed., *The Many-Body Problem: An Encyclopedia of Exactly Solved Models in One Dimension* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995).
 - [2] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices* (Academic Press, New York, 1991).
 - [3] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **10** (1969) 2191, 2197; B. Sutherland, ibid **12** (1971) 246, 251; F. Calogero, ibid **12** (1971) 419.
 - [4] M. A. Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, Phys. Rep. **71** (1981) 314; ibid **94** (1983) 6.
 - [5] *Two Dimensional Quantum Gravity and Random Sur-*

